

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/603,394	REHMAN, ZIA	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Helene Klemanski	1793	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) Helene Klemanski.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Gary P. Oakeson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 12 September 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*103(a) rejection of Yoshida et al. in view of Moffatt et al.*

Claims discussed:

1-4, 6-17 and 19-26

Prior art documents discussed:

*Yoshida et al. and Moffatt et al.*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE/Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants agreed to the changes as set forth in the Exam to overcome: (1) the 103 rejection of Yoshida et al. in view of Moffatt et al. by incorporating that both the amino acid and the amphoteric surfactant are present in the ink a zwitterionic state which is not taught by the above references and (2) various informalities in claims 1, 4, 7, 13, 17 and 20 to make application allowable..